



**REGULATORY  
SERVICES  
COMMITTEE**

**REPORT**

15 December 2011

<b>Subject Heading:</b>	<b>P1636.11 – Garage court to rear of 4 Sedgefield Crescent, Romford</b>  <b>Demolition of existing garages and erection of 1 No. detached dwelling with associated parking and garden areas (Application received 14<sup>th</sup> November 2011)</b>
<b>Report Author and contact details:</b>	<b>Helen Oakerbee, 01708 432 800 Helen.oakerbee@havering.gov.uk</b>
<b>Policy context:</b>	<b>Local development Framework</b>
<b>Financial summary:</b>	<b>None</b>

**The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives**

Clean, safe and green borough	[X]
Excellence in education and learning	[ ]
Opportunities for all through economic, social and cultural activity	[ ]
Value and enhance the life of every individual	[ ]
High customer satisfaction and a stable council tax	[ ]

**SUMMARY**

This application relates to a Council owned garage court. This application proposes the demolition of the existing 7 garages and the erection of 1 no. 2 storey detached dwelling with associated parking and garden areas.

The planning issues are set out in the report below and cover the principle of development, impact on the streetscene, residential amenity and highways/parking. Staff are of the view that the proposal is acceptable and it is recommended that permission be granted subject to conditions.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

That planning permission be granted subject to the following conditions.

It is recommended that planning permission be granted subject to the following conditions:

1) Time limit: The development to which this permission relates must be commenced not later than three years from the date of this permission.

Reason:

To comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).

2) Accordance with plans: The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out otherwise than in complete accordance with the approved plans, particulars and specifications.

Reason:

The Local Planning Authority consider it essential that the whole of the development is carried out and that no departure whatsoever is made from the details approved, since the development would not necessarily be acceptable if partly carried out or carried out differently in any degree from the details submitted. Also, in order that the development accords with Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61.

3) Parking standards: Before the building(s) hereby permitted is first occupied, provision shall be made for 2 x No. off-street car parking spaces for use by Plot 1 and as shown on drawing no. 8430-123-1000 (received 26<sup>th</sup> October 2011) and thereafter this provision shall be made permanently available for use, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To ensure that adequate car parking provision is made off street in the interests of highway safety.

4) Materials: The materials used in the construction of the development hereby approved shall be Hanson Clumber Red Brick for walls and Marley Eternit Modern Interlocking Tile (Smooth Grey) for roof tiles in accordance with the details supplied.

on Drawing '8430-123-Sedgefield Crescent materials) and '8430-123-1000' received 26<sup>th</sup> October 2011, unless otherwise agreed and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To ensure that the appearance of the proposed development will harmonise with the character of the surrounding area and comply with Policy DC61 of the Development Control Policies Development Plan Document.

5) Landscaping: No development shall take place until there has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority a scheme of hard and soft landscaping, which shall include indications of all existing trees and shrubs on the site, and details of any to be retained, together with measures for the protection in the course of development. All planting, seeding or turfing comprised within the scheme shall be carried out in the first planting season following completion of the development and any trees or plants which within a period of 5 years from completion of the development die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of a similar size and species, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local Planning Authority.

Reason:

In accordance with Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and to enhance the visual amenities of the development, and that the development accords with the Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61

6) Permitted Development rights: Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Amendment) (No. 2) (England) Order 2008 Article 3, Schedule 2, Part 1, Classes A, B, C, D and E, no extensions, roof extensions, roof alterations or outbuildings shall take place unless permission under the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 has first been sought and obtained in writing from the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

In the interests of amenity and to enable the Local Planning Authority to retain control over future development, and in order that the development accords with Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61

7) Standard flank wall condition: Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, no window or other opening (other than those shown on the submitted plan,) shall be formed in the flank wall(s) of the building(s) hereby permitted, unless specific permission under the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 has first been sought and obtained in writing from the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

In order to ensure a satisfactory development that will not result in any loss of privacy or damage to the environment of neighbouring properties which exist or may be proposed in the future, and in order that the development accords with Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61.

8) Cycle storage: Before the building(s) hereby permitted is first occupied, provision shall be made on Plot 1 for 2 x No. cycle storage spaces in accordance with the approved plans (Drawing Nr. 8430-123-1004) and thereafter this provision shall be made permanently available for use, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

In the interests of providing a wide range of facilities for non-motor car residents, in the interests of sustainability.

9) Hours of Construction: No construction works or construction related deliveries into the site shall take place other than between the hours of 08.00 to 18.00 on Monday to Friday and 08.00 to 13.00 hours on Saturdays unless agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. No construction works or construction related deliveries shall take place on Sundays, Bank or Public Holidays unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To protect residential amenity, and in order that the development accords with the Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61.

10) Construction Methodology Statement: Before development is commenced, a scheme shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority making provision for a Construction Method Statement to control the adverse impact of the development on the amenity of the public and nearby occupiers. The Construction Method statement shall include details of:

- a) parking of vehicles of site personnel and visitors;
- b) storage of plant and materials;
- c) dust management controls;
- d) measures for minimising the impact of noise and ,if appropriate, vibration arising from construction activities;
- e) predicted noise and, if appropriate, vibration levels for construction using methodologies and at points agreed with the Local Planning Authority;
- f) scheme for monitoring noise and if appropriate, vibration levels using methodologies and at points agreed with the Local Planning Authorities;
- g) siting and design of temporary buildings;
- h) scheme for security fencing/hoardings, depicting a readily visible 24-hour contact number for queries or emergencies;

i) details of disposal of waste arising from the construction programme, including final disposal points. The burning of waste on the site at any time is specifically precluded.

And the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved scheme and statement.

Reason:

To protect residential amenity, and in order that the development accords the Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61.

11) Visibility Splays: The proposals shall provide a 2.1 by 2.1 metre pedestrian visibility splay on either side of the proposed access, set back to the boundary of the public footway. There should be no obstruction or object higher than 0.6 metres within the visibility splay.

Reason:

In the interests of highway safety, and in order that the development accords with the Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC32.

12) Highways Licence Agreement: The necessary agreement, notice or licence to enable the proposed alterations to the Public Highway shall be entered into prior to the commencement of the development.

Reason:

To ensure the interests of the travelling public and are maintained and comply with policies of the Core Strategy and Development Control Policies, namely CP10, CP17 and DC61.

13) Secured by Design: Prior to the commencement of the development hereby permitted, details of the measures to be incorporated into the development demonstrating how 'Secured by Design' accreditation might be achieved shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details, and shall not be occupied or used until written confirmation of compliance with the agreed details has been submitted to and approved in writing by the LPA

Reason:

In the interest of creating safer, sustainable communities, reflecting guidance set out in PPS1, Policy 4B.6 of the London Plan, and Policies CP17 'Design' and DC63 'Delivering Safer Places' of the LBH LDF

14) Noise insulation: The building(s) shall be so constructed as to provide sound insulation of 45 DnT,w + Ctr dB (minimum value) against airborne noise to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To prevent noise nuisance to adjoining properties in accordance with the recommendations of Planning Policy Guidance Note 24 "Planning and Noise" 1994.

15) Refuse and recycling: Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted, provision shall be made for the storage of refuse and recycling awaiting collection according to details which shall previously have been agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

In the interests of amenity of occupiers of the development and also the visual amenity of the development and the locality generally, and in order that the development accords with the LDF Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC61

16) Road lighting: Before the building (s) hereby permitted is first occupied, a scheme for lighting within the development, to include the lighting along the access road, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The lighting shall be provided and operated in strict accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason:

In the interest of residential amenity.

17) Land contamination: Prior to the commencement of any works pursuant to this permission the developer shall submit for the written approval of the Local Planning Authority;

a) A Phase I (Desktop Study) Report documenting the history of this site, its surrounding area and the likelihood of contaminant/s, their type and extent incorporating a Site Conceptual Model.

b) A Phase II (Site Investigation) Report if the Phase I Report confirms the possibility of a significant risk to any sensitive receptors. This is an intrusive site investigation including factors such as chemical testing, quantitative risk assessment and a description of the sites ground conditions. An updated Site Conceptual Model should be included showing all the potential pollutant linkages and an assessment of risk to identified receptors.

c) A Phase III (Risk Management Strategy) Report if the Phase II Report confirms the presence of a significant pollutant linkage requiring remediation. The report will comprise of two parts:

Part A - Remediation Statement which will be fully implemented before it is first occupied. Any variation to the scheme shall be agreed in writing to the Local Planning Authority in advance of works being undertaken. The Remediation

Scheme is to include consideration and proposals to deal with situations where, during works on site, contamination is encountered which has not previously been identified. Any further contamination shall be fully assessed and an appropriate remediation scheme submitted to the Local Planning Authority for written approval.

Part B - Following completion of the remediation works a "Validation Report" must be submitted demonstrating that the works have been carried out satisfactorily and remediation targets have been achieved.

d) If during development works any contamination should be encountered which was not previously identified and is derived from a different source and/or of a different type to those included in the contamination proposals then revised contamination proposals shall be submitted to the LPA ; and

e) If during development work, site contaminants are found in areas previously expected to be clean, then their remediation shall be carried out in line with the agreed contamination proposals.

For further guidance see the leaflet titled, "Land Contamination and the Planning Process".

Reason:

To protect those engaged in construction and occupation of the development from potential contamination. Also in order that the development accords with the LDF Development Control Policies Development Plan Document Policy DC53.

18) Domestic sprinklers: Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted, a domestic sprinkler system shall be installed in each of the houses and shall be permanently retained and maintained thereafter to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interests of safety.

## **INFORMATIVES**

1. Reason for Approval:

It is considered that the proposal satisfies the relevant criteria of Policies DC2, DC3, DC7, DC33, DC36, DC55, DC61 and DC63 of the LDF Development Control Policies Development Plan Document.

Note: Following a change in government legislation a fee is now required when submitting details pursuant to the discharge of conditions, in order to comply with the Town and Country Planning (Fees for Applications and Deemed Applications) (Amendment) (England) Regulations, which came into force from 06.04.2008. A fee of £85 per request (or £25 where the related permission was for extending or altering a dwellinghouse) is needed.

2. The applicant is advised that planning approval does not constitute approval for changes to the public highway. Highway Authority approval will only be given after suitable details have been submitted, considered and agreed. Any proposals which involve building over the public highway as managed by the London Borough of Havering, will require a licence and the applicant must contact StreetCare, Traffic and Engineering on 01708 433750 to commence the Submission / Licence Approval process.
3. The developer, their representatives and contractors are advised that planning permission does not discharge the requirements under the New Roads and Street Works Act 1991 and the Traffic Management Act 2004. Formal notifications and approval will be needed for any highway works (including temporary works) required during the construction of the development.
4. The applicant is advised that if construction materials are proposed to be kept on the highway during construction works then they will need to apply for a license from the Council.
5. With regards to surface water drainage it is the responsibility of the developer to make proper provision for drainage to ground, water courses or a suitable sewer. In respect of surface water it is recommended that the applicant should ensure that storm flows are attenuated or regulated into the receiving public network through on or off site storage. When it is proposed to connect to a combined public sewer, the site drainage should be separate and combined at the final manhole nearest the boundary. Connections are not permitted for the removal of Ground Water. Where the developer proposes to discharge to a public sewer, prior approval from Thames Water Developer Services will be required. They can be contacted on 0845 850 2777.
6. In aiming to satisfy Condition 13 the applicant should seek the advice of the Police Crime Prevention Design Advisor. The services of the local Police CPDA is available free of charge through Havering Development and Building Control or Romford Police Station, 19 Main Road, Romford, Essex, RM1 3BJ." It is the policy of the local planning authority to consult with the Borough CPDA in the discharging of community safety condition(s).

## REPORT DETAIL

### 1. **Site Description**

- 1.1 The application site is a garage court located to the east of Sedgefield Crescent, access into the site is between No's 4 and 6. The site is bound on the north, south and west side by residential properties, the gardens of which enclose the garage court. To the east are the playing fields of



Drapers Academy. The site is currently covered in hard standing and has 7 garages which are in poor condition.

- 1.2 The site for residential development is approximately 213 square metres in size. Ground levels are generally flat.
- 1.3 The character of the surrounding area is predominantly residential and is characterised by two storey semi-detached and terraced dwellings.

## **2. Description of proposal**

- 2.1 This application seeks permission to demolish the existing 7 garages on the site and erect 1 No. detached dwelling with associated parking and garden area.
- 2.2 The dwelling is set to the eastern boundary within the site and has a north-south orientation with windows and doors towards the front and rear. There are no first floor flank windows proposed, although ground floor flank windows would serve the living room, kitchen and hallway.
- 2.3 The dwelling has a width of 5.5m and depth of 8.7m. The development is two storeys in height measuring 4.7m to the eaves and 7.7m to the ridge. The main entrance to the property is located on the northern elevation.
- 2.4 At ground floor, there is a kitchen and dining room, W.C and living room, at first floor there are 2 bedrooms and a bathroom.
- 2.5 Access to the property is via the existing highway which would be retained as a shared surface road (for pedestrians and vehicles), this measures 3.2m wide. To the front of the dwelling is a turning area and two parking spaces.
- 2.6 Amenity space for the dwelling is provided to the rear, this measures 72 square metres. The amenity area would be screened by a 1.8m high close boarded timber fence.

## **3. Relevant History**

- 3.1 None

## **4. Consultations/Representations**

Neighbour notification letters were sent to 15 properties. At the time of writing this report, the 21 days for consultation has not expired and no representations had been received. At the time of the committee date, the consultation period will have expired; any representations received will be reported verbally to Members.

## **5. Relevant Policies**

- 5.1 Policies CP17 (Design), DC2 (Housing Mix and Density), DC3 (Housing Design and Layout), DC7 (Lifetime Homes), DC33 (Car parking), DC36 (Servicing) DC61 (Urban Design), DC63 (Crime) and the Residential Design Supplementary Planning Document of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy and Development Control Policies Development Plan Documents, Policies 3.5 and 3.8 of the London Plan (2011), PPS1 (Delivering Sustainable Development) and PPS3 (Housing) are relevant.

## **6. Staff Comments**

- 6.1 This proposal is put before the Committee as the site comprises land owned by the Council. The main issues to be considered by Members in this case are the principle of development, the site layout and amenity space, design/streetscene issues, amenity implications and parking and highway issues.

### **6.2 Principle of development**

- 6.2.1 The site lies outside the Metropolitan Green Belt, Employment Areas, Commercial Areas, Romford Town Centre and District and Local Centres. The principle of residential development is considered acceptable in land use terms and the provision of additional housing is consistent with PPS3 as the application site is within an established urban area.

- 6.2.2 Policy 3.8 of the London Plan states that DPD policies should offer a range of housing choices, in terms of the mix of housing sizes and types, taking account of the housing requirements of different groups. Policy 3.5 states that Local Development Frameworks should incorporate minimum space standards. The Mayor has set these at 83 square metres for a 2 bed, 4 person two storey dwelling. The dwellings have an internal floor space of in excess of 95 square metres which is acceptable.

- 6.2.3 Policy CP1 indicates that outside town centres and the Green Belt, priority will be made on all non-specifically designated land for housing. The proposal is for redevelopment of a derelict site within an existing residential area. The proposal is therefore acceptable in principle and in accordance with Policy CP1.

### **6.3 Site Layout/ Amenity Space**

- 6.3.1 The Council's Residential Design SPD in respect of amenity space recommends that every home should have access to suitable private and/or communal amenity space in the form of private gardens, communal gardens, courtyards, patios, balconies or roof terraces. In designing high quality amenity space, consideration should be given to privacy, outlook, sunlight, trees and planting, materials (including paving), lighting and boundary treatment. All dwellings should have access to amenity space that is not overlooked from the public realm and this space should provide adequate space for day to day uses.

6.3.2 The amenity space is provided towards the rear in a single rectangular block, enclosed by a 1.8m close boarded fence. The amenity area would not be visible from any public view points and would measure 72square metres. Access to the garden area is through the dwelling and side entrance gates.

6.3.3 Amenity space in the local area is similarly arranged to the rear of properties and varies in size. Gardens in Sedgefield Crescent measure between 63 square metres to in excess of 100 square metres. Properties to the south on Dagnam Park Close measure between 79 to 100 square metres. Staff are of the opinion that the proposed garden area would be large enough to be practical for every day use and with the provision of fencing, would be screened from general public views and access. It is therefore considered that the proposed garden areas would acceptably integrate into the locality and comply with the requirements of the Residential Design SPD.

6.3.4 The residential density range for this site is 30-50 dwellings per hectare. The proposal would result in a density of approximately 50 dph which is within the approximate ranges. Staff consider the proposals to be of an appropriate density for the area.

#### 6.4 Impact on Local Character and Streetscene.

6.4.1 Policy DC61 of the LDF Development Plan Document seeks to ensure that new developments are satisfactorily located and are of a high standard of design and layout. Furthermore, the appearance of new developments should be compatible with the character of the surrounding area, and should not prejudice the environment of the occupiers and adjacent properties. Policy DC61 of the DPD states that planning permission will only be granted for development which maintains, enhances or improves the character and appearance of the local area.

6.4.2 The surrounding area has no prevailing architectural style. Properties are typically semi-detached with hipped or gabled roofs. Materials in the locality include facing brick and render. The proposed dwelling is located behind existing development set back from the access road; as such it is not considered that would be materially harmful in the streetscene.

6.4.3 In terms of design, the dwelling has a traditional design with covered entrance. It would be finished in facing brick with a tiled roof and UPVC windows. Staff consider that the design would be acceptable for the locality. Details of materials have been submitted with the application which Staff consider to be acceptable, these are confirmed via condition.

6.4.4 The ground rises slightly fro east to west, where the property would be set less than 0.5m higher than No. 4 Sedgefield Crescent. Staff consider that the separation distances between existing development and the proposed dwelling not to result in a visually intrusive or overbearing appearance, especially given their orientation and boundary screening. The property would be screened by surrounding development to the north, west and

south, although to the east the property would be visible across the adjacent school playing fields. Staff do not consider this would be harmful in the locality, where existing properties are visible from this view point.

6.4.5 The development of housing on the site with landscaping would improve the quality of the existing garage court and would therefore be an enhancement to the character and appearance of the surrounding area in general.

6.4.6 It is considered that the development of a detached 2-storey dwelling in this location would have an acceptable impact on the character and appearance of the locality. In light of sufficient separation distances between the proposed dwelling and neighbouring properties, Staff are of the opinion that the proposals would not appear as a cramped or overbearing form of development within the surrounding rear garden environment and overall would have an acceptable design and appearance, therefore compliant with the aims and objectives of Policy DC61 of the Local Development Framework.

## 6.5 Impact on Amenity

6.5.1 Policy DC61 considers that new developments should not materially reduce the degree of privacy enjoyed by the occupants of adjoining properties or have an unreasonably adverse effect on sunlight and daylight to adjoining properties.

6.5.2 The northern elevation is set 16.6m south of No. 12 Sedgefield Crescent. The flank elevation is set 17.4m from No. 2-4 Sedgefield Crescent and the rear elevation is set 20.2m north of No. 173 Dagnam Park Drive and 21m from No. 174 Dagnam Park Drive. Guidance with the adopted Residential Design SPD does not prescribe back to back distances, given the garden separation depths between the dwellings and surrounding properties, it is not considered that there would be any overbearing impact, taking into account existing boundary screening.

6.5.3 The development would have ground floor flank windows which serve the kitchen to the east and hallway/ living room to the west; these would not result in adverse overlooking of adjacent occupiers given the surrounding boundary enclosures and distances between properties. There are no first floor flank windows proposed.

6.5.5 The first floor front windows are not considered to result in overlooking of No. 12 Sedgefield Crescent given the existing boundary screening and garage in the rear garden of No. 12. The window directly sharing an aspect over this garden was revised during the course of the application so that it is now a single casement rather than double window. The other front facing window overlooks the land adjacent to No. 12 and raises no objections. The rear bedroom windows of the properties would share an orientation with No's 172-172 Dagnam Park Close, although this is considered an acceptable relationship given the urban context of the site and 20m minimum separation distance.

6.5.6 In terms of additional noise and disturbance, it is not considered that the addition of 2 x family dwellings would give rise to any undue levels of noise and disturbance to the surrounding neighbouring properties within what is a predominantly residential area.

6.5.7 There would be 2 parking spaces. These are located to the northern edge of the site with a turning area provided in front. The parking spaces would be screened by a 1.8m high timber fence and include strips of soft landscaping to the edges. It is acknowledged that the site is currently used for informal parking and Staff are of the opinion that the parking is sufficiently removed from existing dwellings and that no noise or light pollution would occur as a result of these 2 car parking spaces on the site which is a reduction from the possible 7 that the site can accommodate at the present time.

6.5.8 Staff consider the proposal to be acceptable in its current form. Given the size of the proposed 2-storey development in relation to the resultant limited plot space, any additions, extensions or alterations to the dwelling may result in harm to the character of the surrounding area and neighbouring amenity. In light of this, Staff are of the opinion that all Permitted Development Rights for the proposed development should be removed in order to safeguard the appearance of the street scene and amenities of neighbouring occupiers.

6.5.9 It is considered that the layout, siting and design of the proposed development would be acceptable with no material harmful impact on the amenities of neighbouring properties. The development is therefore considered to comply with the aims and objectives of Policies CP17 and DC61 of the LDF Development Control Policies DPD in respect of its impact on neighbouring amenity.

## 6.6 Highway/Parking/Access

6.6.1 Policy DC33 in respect of car parking refers to the density matrix in Policy DC2. The site has a PTAL rating of 1-2 and therefore requires 2 - 1.5 parking spaces per unit for a development of this type nature. 2 parking spaces are provided which is acceptable.

6.6.2 The existing 7 garages are in a poor condition and all are currently vacant. The loss of these garages in favour of the proposal to provide new family accommodation is therefore considered acceptable and would not result in any highway safety or parking issues.

6.6.3 The access road would have a shared surface for vehicles and pedestrians. The width of the access road measures 3.2m and this is not proposed to change. The access arrangements raise no objections from Staff.

6.6.4 The development provides storage for 2 x no. cycle spaces to each dwelling which would comply with the Council's standards as set out in Annex 6 which requires a provision of 2 spaces per dwelling with 3 or more bedrooms.

6.6.5 The proposals would involve the loss of the rear garden access to No. 2 Sedgefield Crescent, a Council owned property. Staff note this is the only vehicular access into the property as the front garden has not been altered to create a driveway. Staff note that this loss of access would have an impact upon this occupier, although there is scope for alternative provision to the front of the site with the creation of a driveway, as other adjacent dwellings have done, and to the public highway, where there are parking bays and no other form of parking control. Access is retained for No. 12 Sedgefield Crescent.

6.6.6 In light of the above, the proposal is considered to satisfy the requirements of Policy DC2 and DC33 and would not result in any highway or parking issues.

## 6.7 Other issues

6.7.1 The plans submitted show an area for refuse storage in the access road and householders would need to carry their black sacks to this refuse point on collection days. This has been considered acceptably by StreetCare and raises no objection. Specific details as to the refuse storage are attached via condition.

## 7. Conclusion:

7.1.1 Overall, Staff are of the opinion that the proposals to provide a single detached dwelling would be of an acceptable appearance, it would be largely screened by existing development in Sedgefield Crescent. It is also considered that the proposal presents an acceptable degree of spacing between the buildings, proposed and existing and is not considered to appear as unacceptably dominant or visually intrusive. It is considered that the proposal would not have any detrimental impact on neighbouring properties. There are no highways issues raised with regard to the provision of parking for the dwelling. The provision of amenity space is also acceptable and approval is recommended accordingly.

## IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

**Financial implications and risks:** None

**Legal implications and risks:**

This application is considered on its own merits and independently from the Council's interest as applicant and owner of the site.

**Human Resources implications and risks:** None.

### **Equalities implications and risks:**

The proposed dwelling would be constructed to meet the Lifetime Homes Standard which means that they would be easily adaptable in the future to meet the changing needs of occupiers.

## **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

Application forms and plans received 14/11/2011.

1. The planning application as submitted or subsequently revised including all forms and plans.
2. The case sheet and examination sheet.
3. Ordnance survey extract showing site and surroundings.
4. Standard Planning Conditions and Standard Green Belt reason for refusal.
5. Relevant details of Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas, Article 4 Directions.
6. Copy of all consultations/representations received and correspondence, including other Council Directorates and Statutory Consultees.
7. The relevant planning history.